

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,831	01/15/2004	David J. Houston	08855-00009	1830
27144 75	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TFT, COLLINS & SMI	CHIU, RALEIGH W		
313 SOUTH WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MI 48933				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED, 04/29/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/707,831	HOUSTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raleigh Chiu	3711			
Dariad 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	pril 2005.				
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 5-15 and 17-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5-15 and 17-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document plication from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/12/2005</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12 April 2005 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 5-9, 13-15 and 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutrone (USPN 4,971,319) as previously applied in view of JP 10192469 (Shigeru).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 14, 15 and 17, Figures 1 and 7 of Cutrone show a pair of ramp members 30,34 extending the length of a net and configured to a height of less than the height of the net. Although the Cutrone device is used in tennis, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extend this teaching to table tennis in view of the well-known similarities between the two sports. Figures 1-5 of Shigeru show an end bracket 8 placed at the an end of a table tennis net to return a ball caught by the net and to prevent the ball from falling off the table. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the Shigeru end

Art Unit: 3711

bracket at the end of the Cutrone ramp members as modified above to allow more balls to be returned to the player. To the extent that Shigeru shows only the one end bracket, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide end brackets on both ends of the net to return more balls.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 20 and 21, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to connect the two ramp members with each other to better secure the device during use.

Regarding claim 9, hooks are considered to be well-known connectors; the specific type of connector is not considered to be critical but whose selection would have been within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill.

Regarding claims 13 and 22, Figure 7 of Cutrone shows support element 110,112 connected to the backside of the ramp members.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, base 1 of Shigeru corresponds to the recited extension portion.

Regarding claim 23, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ramp members and end brackets together in a kit, simplifying the user's life by consolidating all the necessary ball-returning elements together.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,831

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutrone in view of Shigeru as applied above in view of Vandeveld (USPN 4,919,421) as previously applied.

Regarding claim 10, although Cutrone shows the ramp to be made from netting, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make them as paneled material in view of Vandeveld who discloses that it is old and well-known in the art to construct ball returners in such a manner. See Vandeveld at column 2, lines 51-54. Moreover, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the Cutrone ramps as modified above separable, since such a modification would have involved a mere separation of elements. To make an element separable is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, to join the elements together using well-known connecting expedients would be similarly obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 22 June 2005